

The Jackson Laboratory

The Mouseion at the JAXlibrary

Faculty Research 2022

Faculty Research

1-1-2022

At-home blood collection and stabilization in high temperature climates using

Lauren G Brown

Amanda J Haack

Dakota S Kennedy

Karen N Adams

Jennifer E Stolarczuk

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://mouseion.jax.org/stfb2022>



Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#), and the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Authors

Lauren G Brown, Amanda J Haack, Dakota S Kennedy, Karen N Adams, Jennifer E Stolarczuk, Meg G Takezawa, Erwin Berthier, Sanitta Thongpang, Fang Yun Lim, Damien Chaussabel, Mathieu Garand, and Ashleigh B Theberge



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Dean Ho,
National University of Singapore, Singapore

REVIEWED BY

Raghu Sinha,
The Pennsylvania State University, United States
Keng-Hwee Chiam,
Bioinformatics Institute (A*STAR), Singapore

*CORRESPONDENCE

Ashleigh B. Theberge
abt1@uw.edu
Mathieu Garand
mathieu.garand@gmail.com
Damien Chaussabel
damein.chaussabel@jax.org

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Personalized Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Digital Health

RECEIVED 24 March 2022

ACCEPTED 18 July 2022

PUBLISHED 09 August 2022

CITATION

Brown LG, Haack AJ, Kennedy DS, Adams KN, Stolarczuk JE, Takezawa MG, Berthier E, Thongpang S, Lim FY, Chaussabel D, Garand M and Theberge AB (2022) At-home blood collection and stabilization in high temperature climates using *homeRNA*.
Front. Digit. Health 4:903153.
doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2022.903153

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Brown, Haack, Kennedy, Adams, Stolarczuk, Takezawa, Berthier, Thongpang, Lim, Chaussabel, Garand and Theberge. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

At-home blood collection and stabilization in high temperature climates using *homeRNA*

Lauren G. Brown^{1†}, Amanda J. Haack^{1,2†}, Dakota S. Kennedy¹, Karen N. Adams³, Jennifer E. Stolarczuk¹, Meg G. Takezawa¹, Erwin Berthier¹, Sanitta Thongpang^{1,4}, Fang Yun Lim¹, Damien Chaussabel^{5,6*}, Mathieu Garand^{5,7*} and Ashleigh B. Theberge^{1,8*}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ²School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ³Institute of Translational Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Mahidol University, Nakorn Pathom, Thailand, ⁵Research Branch, Sidra Medicine, Doha, Qatar, ⁶Computer Sciences Department, The Jackson Laboratory, Farmington, CT, United States, ⁷Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, United States, ⁸Department of Urology, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

Expanding whole blood sample collection for transcriptome analysis beyond traditional phlebotomy clinics will open new frontiers for remote immune research and telemedicine. Determining the stability of RNA in blood samples exposed to high ambient temperatures (>30°C) is necessary for deploying home-sampling in settings with elevated temperatures (e.g., studying physiological response to natural disasters that occur in warm locations or in the summer). Recently, we have developed *homeRNA*, a technology that allows for self-blood sampling and RNA stabilization remotely. *homeRNA* consists of a lancet-based blood collection device, the Tasso-SST™ which collects up to 0.5 ml of blood from the upper arm, and a custom-built stabilization transfer tube containing *RNAlater*™. In this study, we investigated the robustness of our *homeRNA* kit in high temperature settings via two small pilot studies in Doha, Qatar (no. participants = 8), and the Western and South Central USA during the summer of 2021, which included a heatwave of unusually high temperatures in some locations (no. participants = 11). Samples collected from participants in Doha were subjected to rapid external temperature fluctuations from being moved to and from air-conditioned areas and extreme heat environments (up to 41°C external temperature during brief temperature spikes). In the USA pilot study, regions varied in outdoor temperature highs (between 25°C and 43.4°C). All samples that returned a RNA integrity number (RIN) value from the Doha, Qatar group had a RIN ≥7.0, a typical integrity threshold for downstream transcriptomics analysis. RIN values for the Western and South Central USA samples (*n* = 12 samples) ranged from 6.9–8.7 with 9 out of 12 samples reporting RINs ≥7.0. Overall, our pilot data suggest that *homeRNA* can be used in some regions that experience elevated temperatures, opening up new geographical frontiers in disseminated transcriptome analysis for applications critical to telemedicine, global health, and expanded clinical research. Further studies, including our ongoing work in Qatar, USA, and Thailand, will continue to test the robustness of *homeRNA*.

KEYWORDS

home blood sampling, personalized medicine, RNA stabilization, high temperature sampling, global health

Introduction

Blood is a useful biofluid for transcriptome analysis, as it is relatively non-invasive to obtain and provides ample information for biomarker identification and analysis (1). Consequently, whole blood RNA transcript analysis has emerged as an avenue for realizing the goals of personalized medicine (2, 3). Key biomarkers discovered through blood transcriptomic studies have potential applications in novel therapeutics and diagnostics (4–8) and have provided new avenues for disease monitoring of chronic (9, 10), autoimmune (11, 12), and infectious diseases (13). By combining home-sampling technologies and transcriptomics, one can further expand upon these applications, opening up both biomarker discovery through discovery-based research studies, and bring applications such as gene expression-based disease monitoring and diagnostics to home-based tests. Further, a technology that allows for remote blood transcriptomics would be enabling for increasing access to rural, remote, or underserved communities, for both research studies and telemedicine, and could be a powerful technology for enhancing global health efforts (2).

Conducting remote blood transcriptomics research presents several challenges. For example, while blood is relatively non-invasive to collect, conventional blood collection is limited to phlebotomy. Logistically, relying on phlebotomy for collection geographically confines blood collections to clinic/lab locations or research institutes. Several self-blood collection technologies have been developed for remote use, including fingersticks (8), dried blood spots (14–16), and lancet-based technologies that collect blood from the upper arm (17–21), such as the Tasso-SST™ device that we use in our home-sampling and RNA stabilization kits (including the work in this manuscript). Another major challenge of remote blood transcriptomics is stabilization of whole blood RNA to minimize RNA degradation due to spontaneous degradation via autohydrolysis or degradation due to the presence of ribonucleases (22). Toma et al. developed an at-home blood collection kit that collects 50 µl of capillary blood via fingerstick and mixes with a proprietary RNA preservative solution at a 1:4 ratio (8). To test the ability of the RNA preservative solution to preserve blood transcriptome, Toma et al. collected blood samples from participants ($n=3$) and stored at ambient temperature (22°C) or –80°C for 0, 7, 14, and 28 days, with and without shipping. They found high correlation coefficients between gene expression levels from the samples in all conditions, demonstrating the efficacy of the RNA stabilization solution to preserve RNA integrity over 28 days (8).

To address this burgeoning need and develop technology for higher blood volumes than are possible with fingersticks, we have recently developed *homeRNA*, a kit for the self-

collection and stabilization of whole blood RNA, for use in disseminated whole blood transcriptome applications (23). *homeRNA* uses a blood collection device, the Tasso-SST™, that collects capillary blood from the upper arm via a lancet; the blood collection tube from the Tasso system then interfaces with a custom engineered stabilizer tube that holds liquid stabilizer solution (RNAlater™) (23). Previously, we demonstrated successful stabilization of RNA extracted from samples collected with *homeRNA* in 47 participants in 10 USA states in a pilot feasibility study for validation of *homeRNA* for remote blood collection and RNA stabilization. However, most of these samples were collected in regions with relatively moderate summer temperatures or during the fall/winter and thus we sought to test the *homeRNA* sampling kit in regions with elevated temperatures. Our present study builds on a small body of prior work examining the effects of blood storage temperature on RNA quality; prior work largely focused on the effects of cold storage and did not examine temperatures above 25°C (24–26).

Establishing means to remotely probe the human whole blood transcriptome in elevated temperature settings has important implications, including enabling clinical research or personalized medicine applications in areas with high temperature and studying diseases/events that are specific to warm climates or warm times of year. For example, regions more highly burdened by tropical diseases would benefit from a better understanding of the stability of RNA because many of these areas often have persistently elevated temperatures. Further, even in regions with more moderate climates for part of the year, researchers may wish to conduct remote sampling studies in the summer months, particularly when tied to a specific seasonal event. For example, a study aiming to understand wildfire smoke exposure in the Western and South Central USA would necessitate sampling in the summer months where temperatures are elevated, as these months are when wildfires occur. Overall, the ability to reliably stabilize RNA with *homeRNA* in high temperature settings will open up remote transcriptome studies to regions with a warmer climate, whether seasonally or permanently.

We present here two small pilot studies exploring the application of *homeRNA* in high temperature settings. In the first pilot study, *homeRNA* was used by a small group in the city of Doha, Qatar before the sample was exposed to simulated shipping conditions of fluctuating temperatures. In the second study, *homeRNA* was used at a regional scale, where participants used *homeRNA* in their own homes during the summer months in several Western and South Central USA states, which included regions that are typically very hot (e.g., Reno, Nevada and Southern California) as well as the Pacific Northwest during the June 2021 heat wave. For samples collected from both studies, we examine quality control metrics typical to determining suitability of isolated

RNA for downstream RNA transcript analysis, including the RNA integrity number (RIN) and total cellular RNA yield. In both the small pilot study in Qatar, and the small pilot study in the Western and South Central USA, we found satisfactory RIN values ($RIN \geq 7$) in the majority of samples (84%, 16 out of 19 samples), with a RIN of 6.9 in the remaining 3 samples. Overall, our data demonstrate successful RNA stabilization as a function of yield and RIN values using *homeRNA* in high temperature settings.

Materials and methods

homeRNA for the collection and stabilization of whole blood cellular RNA

Design and assembly of *homeRNA*

The fabrication of the RNA stabilizer tube as an interface to the Tasso-SST™ blood tube has been described previously (23). Briefly, the RNA stabilizer tube was designed to hold the RNAlater™ stabilization solution and connect to the Tasso-SST™ blood collection tube for remote self-blood collection and RNA stabilization. The Tasso-SST™ blood collection tube does not contain an anticoagulant. We previously showed that Tasso-SST™-mediated capillary blood collection, despite not having an anticoagulant, did not result in major compromise of RNA quality as measured by RIN values (23). At the time of the development of the *homeRNA* kit, the Tasso-SST™ was the only commercially available Tasso product for collecting liquid samples; we note that we do not use the serum separator tube (SST) feature. The stabilizer tube was injection molded out of polycarbonate (PC: Makrolon 2407) by Protolabs, Inc (Maple Plain, MN). Details of the stabilizer tube components and design files can be found in Haack, Lim et al. (23). Prior to assembly of the stabilizer tube, all components were first cleaned via sonication in 70% ethanol (v/v) for 30 min and air dried. The stabilizer tube was filled with 1.3 ml RNAlater™ (Thermo Fisher) stabilization solution and capped. The stabilizer tube insert was designed to hold the stabilizer tube containing blood in a 50 ml conical tube during transport. The insert also allows for facile centrifugation of the sample in the 50 ml standard conical tube when it arrives in a laboratory setting, as it centers and immobilizes the *homeRNA* sample tube relative to the 50 ml tube. The insert was injection molded out of polycarbonate (PC: Makrolon 2407) by Protolabs, Inc (Maple Plain, MN). All other components included in the *homeRNA* blood kit and the Instruction for Use (IFU) are listed previously (23) and included in the **Supplementary material** of this manuscript for easy reference (**Supplementary Appendix 1**). All kit components were placed in a rigid custom design mailer box fabricated via die-cutting (The BoxMaker, Inc.) (23).

High temperature fluctuations in *homeRNA* collected samples: A small pilot study in Doha, Qatar

Participant recruitment and demographics

Healthy adult volunteers (18 years or older) were recruited in Doha, Qatar via word of mouth under a protocol approved by Sidra Medicine Institutional Review Board study number 1609004823. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. In total, the study enrolled 8 participants between the ages of 29 and 53 (7 male and 1 female).

Temperature fluctuations of *homeRNA* collected and stabilized blood samples

Participants were asked to collect and stabilize 1–2 whole blood samples using *homeRNA* in a laboratory setting. The stabilizer vial containing the stabilized blood was placed in the 50 ml conical tube with the insert provided in the *homeRNA* kits (see *design and assembly of homeRNA* above). Next the 50 ml tube containing the stabilized blood samples was placed in a sealed bag (provided in the kit) inside the *homeRNA* cardboard package, and then incubated at ambient temperature (21°C) for 27 h to simulate the amount of time that might pass between blood collection at home and a scheduled pickup time from a courier service. Samples 1a (from participant 1 who was sampled twice) and 2 were frozen at –80°C after incubation at ambient room temperature for 27 h. The remaining 6 samples, after 27 h incubation at ambient temperature, underwent 3 h of exposure to cycling temperatures in air-conditioned, indoor, and outdoor environments to simulate shipping conditions of the *homeRNA* kit from remote site to lab. Each sample was exposed to a low of 21°C and a high of 41°C (with the elevated temperatures occurring as brief temperature spikes, see **Supplementary Table S1** and rationale for this temperature exposure/study design provided in the Results and Discussion) over the course of 27–30 h after blood collection and stabilization.

homeRNA collection during summer months: A small pilot study in the Western and South Central USA

Participant recruitment and demographics

Healthy adult volunteers (18 years or older) were recruited from US states that historically experience wildfire smoke (i.e., the Western USA) via word of mouth under a protocol approved by University of Washington Institutional Review Board (STUDY00012463). 11 participants between the ages of 20 and 56 years old were enrolled (4 male and 7 female) and collected samples used in this study. Note: these samples were

collected as part of a larger ongoing study our research group is performing to investigate the effects of wildfire smoke exposure on inflammation. These 12 samples (from 11 participants) were chosen to capture a range of temperatures including the warmest temperatures experienced by our participants in summer 2021. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Participants were located in 7 states, including California, Nevada, New Mexico, Texas, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming. Samples were collected between June and July of 2021, including samples ($n=2$) that were collected during the June 2021 heat wave in the Pacific Northwest.

Participant blood self-collection and stabilization

Participants were asked to self-collect and stabilize blood from their upper arm using the *homeRNA* blood collection and stabilization kit. Each participant was also asked to complete a survey with each collection that included information about the ambient temperature during collection and the approximate blood level (see **Supplementary Figure S6**). Participants were asked to package their stabilized blood samples to be returned to the lab for analysis using the provided return mailer bag (per instructions including containment in a 50 ml conical tube, plastic bag, and the *homeRNA* kit cardboard box). Participants left their sample outside for pickup between the hours of 12:00 PM and 3:00 PM. All stabilized blood samples were mailed using next day delivery courier services (United Parcel Service, UPS). Returned samples were delivered to a -20°C freezer and subsequently stored at -80°C prior to RNA extraction.

Temperature data collection

Participants were provided with a temperature monitor (ThermPro) and were asked to record the temperature at the time of sampling to obtain the indoor temperature data (**Figure 3Bi**). We collected the maximum temperature from the day the sample was picked up as the outdoor high on pickup day (**Figure 3Bii**). Temperature data was obtained from the daily high reported from the closest weather station on the day of the pickup. The weather station data was obtained from Weather Underground.

RNA isolation and assessment of RNA integrity from *homeRNA*-stabilized whole blood

RNA isolation

For both small pilot studies in Doha, Qatar and the Western and South Central USA, total cellular RNA was isolated using the Ribopure™ - Blood RNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer's protocol and eluted in two 50 μl aliquots. Prior to removing the stabilized blood from the

collection tube, the 50 ml conical tube holding the *homeRNA* device was briefly centrifuged 10 s at 50 g. Isolated RNA was stored at -80°C until ready for further analysis.

Assessment of yield and RNA integrity of total cellular RNA in the Western and South Central USA

For the pilot study in the USA, RIN values of the first 50 μl elution were obtained on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent). The Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer uses proprietary, built-in software to calculate RIN values, which is based on the relative peak height and shape of the 18S and 28S rRNA fragments in the resulting electropherogram after separation. All samples were assessed using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit. Samples with returned concentrations below the quantitative range ($<25\text{ ng}/\mu\text{l}$) of the RNA 6000 Nano Kit were further analyzed using the RNA 6000 Pico kit. For these low concentration samples, only values provided by the Pico kit were used in the data analysis. Samples 9–11 had sufficient RNA concentration to be within the quantitative range of the RNA 6000 Nano Kit ($25\text{--}500\text{ ng}/\mu\text{l}$), whereas RNA concentration from the first elution from samples 1–8 were below this threshold and were therefore diluted 1:5 with nuclease free water to be within the quantitative range for the RNA 6000 Pico Kit ($50\text{--}5,000\text{ pg}/\mu\text{l}$). RNA concentration was measured for both isolated RNA aliquots using a Cytation 5 Multi-Mode Reader (Agilent Biotek Instruments) with a Take3 Micro-Volume Plate at the wavelengths of 260, 280, and 320 nm (**Supplementary Figure S4**).

Assessment of yield and RNA integrity of total cellular RNA in Qatar

For the pilot study in Qatar, RNA integrity number (RIN) values and RNA concentration of the first 50 μl elution were obtained on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent) for all samples. For samples analyzed in Qatar, additional assays on the Pico kit were not performed on samples with concentrations below the qualitative range of the Nano kit. The bioanalyzer was used to obtain the RNA concentration from the first 50 μl elution of the RNA isolation protocol (**Supplementary Figure S2**).


Results and discussion

homeRNA at high temperatures: An overview of two pilot studies

In this work, we tested the robustness of the *homeRNA* kit by evaluating the stability of RNA transcripts in blood collected using the *homeRNA* kits during high temperatures in the summer months (July–August) in two different regions: Doha, Qatar (in-lab collection with *homeRNA*, followed by in-field

sample exposure in a hot car to simulate conditions in a courier service) and the Western and South Central USA (remote collection with *homeRNA* by participants in their home, shipped back to the lab). A typical logistical process of

collecting, stabilizing, shipping, and processing *homeRNA* blood samples is outlined in **Figure 1**, including a schematic of how a user can collect and stabilize blood with *homeRNA*. In each case, our study design was chosen based on how at-



home clinical research studies would be performed in that region (i.e., a 3-hour car ride to simulate a courier service in Doha and overnight shipping via UPS in the USA). Specifically, in the Qatar pilot study we were interested in understanding if stabilized blood samples exposed to high temperature fluctuations in a region of the world that regularly experiences high temperatures would affect the quality of isolated blood RNA. The Qatar samples were collected in a laboratory setting which was air conditioned (to model the air-conditioned home environment typical in Qatar) and deliberately stressed in different temperature environments (i.e., collection at air-conditioned ambient temperature, exposure to external outdoor high temperatures, transportation conditions, etc. (**Supplementary Table S1**)) to simulate shipping conditions that typically occur between remote blood collection and shipment back to the lab for analysis in Qatar. In Qatar, air conditioning is prevalent, and therefore samples may go from air-conditioned areas to extreme heat during shipping. Further, in Doha courier services (such as Uber) are a common method of moving packages around the city. The conditions we employed (**Supplementary Table S1**) were chosen to mimic a typical car ride that the samples would experience in a courier service for shipments within the city itself; the length of the car ride (3 h) is shorter than the time required to ship packages in the USA with UPS, but is relevant for courier services in Doha. Subsequently, the Western and South Central USA pilot study experienced real-world shipping conditions, in which participants were mailed *homeRNA* kits from Seattle, WA to various states in the Western region of the USA during the summer (**Table 1**), yielding data from participants in a range of regions and temperatures to further test the applicability of *homeRNA* in multiple high temperature environments.

The robustness of the *homeRNA* kit to elevated ambient temperature exposures was validated by assessing isolated blood RNA quality with RNA integrity number (RIN) values. RIN values range between 1 and 10, with 1 referring to a completely degraded sample and 10 referring to an intact sample (27). RIN values ≥ 7.0 are standard QC cut-offs for genome wide transcriptional profiling (28). However, useful RNA sequencing data can still be obtained from more degraded samples (RIN values between 4 and 7) (29).

homeRNA for high temperature regions: A pilot study in Doha, Qatar

To understand the robustness of the *homeRNA* device for use in clinical studies performed in Doha, Qatar, 8 participants in Doha, Qatar collected and stabilized their own blood in late August 2021. After blood collection and

stabilization with the *homeRNA* kit, each sample was incubated at room temperature (21°C) for 27 h, simulating time between remote blood collection and next-day sample pick up for shipment. After sitting out at room temperature, the stabilized blood samples were then exposed to fluctuating external temperatures in air-conditioned, indoor, and outdoor environments, as outlined in **Figure 2A** and **Supplementary Table S1**, by driving the samples around Doha in a car. This setup mimics the change in environment and temperatures that the stabilized blood samples would experience in transit using a typical courier service (see rationale for design described at the beginning of the Results and Discussion). The samples underwent external changes in temperature between 21°C and 41°C over the course of three hours before storage at -80°C until the time of analysis (**Figure 2A**). Of the 8 participants, stabilized blood samples from 7 of the donors were exposed to these high environmental temperature spikes. Samples 1 and 2a (from participant 2 who was sampled twice: 2a for control and 2b for temperature stress) were frozen after overnight incubation at room temperature to serve as controls.

The quality of isolated RNA from all 9 blood samples (from 8 participants) was assessed to observe if the high external temperature spikes had adverse effects on the RNA stability in the *homeRNA* platform. Of the 9 samples, 7 yielded scorable RIN values (**Figure 2C**). The two that did not yield a RIN value (participants 6 and 8) both reported collected blood volumes of $\sim 100\ \mu\text{l}$. We note that blood volume does not necessarily correlate with RNA yield (see **Supplementary Figure S5**) and that variability in blood volume could result from device-to-device variability in the Tasso blood collection system and inaccuracy in participant reporting of the volume of collected blood. These two samples had low RNA concentrations beneath the limit of detection of the RNA 6000 Nano Kit ($<5\ \text{ng}/\mu\text{l}$), but distinct 18S and 28S rRNA bands are noted in the digital gel (**Figure 2B**). The scorable RNA samples had RIN values between 7.6–8.7, demonstrating a sample quality suitable for downstream transcriptome (e.g., RNA-seq) analysis. Electropherograms obtained from the bioanalyzer can be found in the SI (**Supplementary Figure S1**). RNA concentrations for the first 50 μl elution were also obtained with the bioanalyzer (**Supplementary Figure S2**). For the 5 samples that were exposed to temperature fluctuations and yielded a scorable RIN value, the RNA concentrations from the first 50 μl elution ranged from 21 $\text{ng}/\mu\text{l}$ to 40 $\text{ng}/\mu\text{l}$ (1,050–2,000 ng total cellular RNA in the first 50 μl elution). The two samples that yielded a concentration less than the qualitative range (5 $\text{ng}/\mu\text{l}$) of the Nano 6000 kit (participant 6 and 8) both measured a concentration of 4 $\text{ng}/\mu\text{l}$ (200 ng total cellular RNA in the first 50 μl elution). Therefore, all samples, including the low concentration samples (participant 6 and 8), likely had at least 200 ng total yield of RNA in the first elution. In general,

500 ng is a comfortable minimal cutoff value for large-scale transcriptomics analyses such as standard RNA-Seq (with many facilities accepting lower amounts of RNA), and 100 ng is a comfortable minimal cutoff value for expression analyses of a small panel of targeted genes. For RNA-Seq, we note that different cutoff values exist (for example as low as 10–30 ng) depending on the method and whether cDNA amplification is performed.

The successful isolation and high quality of RNA from blood samples collected, stabilized, and analyzed in Doha suggests that the *homeRNA* platform can be used for at-home clinical studies in Doha or places similar to Doha, a hot climate where air conditioning is commonplace in buildings and vehicles and fast courier services are available. In this setting, samples experience exposure to short term, high temperature fluctuations in external environmental conditions. Perhaps some researchers would assume that rapid temperature spikes would not affect the quality of *RNAlater*[™]-stabilized RNA, but we felt that it was necessary to conduct this field testing before using *homeRNA* for clinical research in Doha. One important note regarding the experimental setup for this small pilot study is that the reported temperatures (Figure 2A, Supplementary Table S1) are that of the local external environment (i.e., temperature inside the car, ambient outdoor temperature, etc.) rather than the temperature of the blood sample itself. It is possible that even though the external temperatures fluctuated markedly over the course of three hours with intervals of rapid but short increases or decreases in ambient temperatures, the blood sample itself may not have fully equilibrated to these observed external temperatures. Further experimentation, such as in-lab controlled temperature stress experiments, could further determine how spikes in external temperature affect the temperature of the blood sample itself.


homeRNA for high temperature seasons: A pilot study in Western and South Central USA

To further assess the robustness of the *homeRNA* kit in high temperature settings, 11 participants in various regions throughout the Western and South Central USA collected and stabilized their own blood and shipped it back to our lab for analysis. These samples were collected during the summer of 2021, which involved unusually high temperatures in some locations, and 2 of these samples were collected during the June 2021 heatwave in the Pacific Northwest region. Samples were collected at indoor ambient temperatures ranging between 21°C and 27°C (Table 1, Figure 3Bi). Typically, stabilized blood samples were shipped back the same day or the following day with overnight shipping. The logistics of the study were such that participants left their package in a designated pickup area; all participants chose to have their samples picked up from outside their homes; therefore, all the stabilized blood samples were also exposed to the outdoor ambient temperatures following indoor collection and stabilization (See Table 1 for pickup location). The duration of post-collection specimen storage prior to transit back to the lab (turnover duration) can be highly variable; this ranges from immediate transit (direct package handover a courier service driver) to overnight storage, in which these specimens can experience prolonged exposure to high ambient temperatures. This turnover duration is an important variable in temperature-dependent specimen stability and our data reflects the cumulative effect of variations in turnover duration experienced during real-world transits.

According to the day that each sample was collected and stabilized, the maximum outdoor temperature (“daily high”) reported for the corresponding location of each participant

TABLE 1 Participant and sampling information for the Western and South Central USA pilot study.

Participant	Self-reported blood volume (μl)	Indoor temperature at sampling (°C)	Outdoor temperature high on pickup day (°C)	Location of sampling	Month of sampling	Total yield (μg)	RIN
1A	200	25.0	42.3	Albany, OR	Late June	0.7	6.9
1B	300	26.3	35.6	Albany, OR	Early July	0.8	6.9
2	400	25.8	36.8	Davis, CA	Late July	1.1	7.1
3	300	23.2	28.7	Seattle, WA	Early August	0.8	7.4
4	300	24.0	33.4	Dallas, TX	Early July	0.7	7.3
5	200	23.1	35.3	Laramie, WY	Late July	0.5	7.6
6	200	24.5	34.8	Pasadena CA	Mid July	0.8	6.9
7	300	26.9	43.4	Portland, OR	Late June	0.5	7.4
8	400	27.2	32.3	Reno, NV	Early August	1.0	7.3
9	300	21.2	25.0	Albuquerque, NM	Late June	1.9	8.2
10	400	25.0	35.4	Portland, OR	Late July	1.8	7.6
11	400	23.0	25.5	Portland, OR	Late July	1.6	8.7



(based on local weather reports found at Weather Underground) is included in **Table 1**. These outdoor temperatures spanned between 25°C and 44°C (**Table 1**, **Figure 3Bii**). We note that we do not know if the sample was outside during the daily high and further note that it is possible that the package was exposed to temperatures higher than the daily high, such as if it was placed in direct sunlight or if the temperature inside the pickup vehicle exceeded the daily high. Thus, the daily high is simply provided as a reference. In addition to the above, as noted in the Doha pilot study, the temperature of the blood sample may be different from the temperature of the external environment.

The quality of isolated RNA from 12 blood samples (from 11 participants) was assessed to observe how various temperature exposures (indoor, outdoor, shipping conditions, etc.) affected *homeRNA* stability in a field test. 75% of the samples (9 out of 12 samples) yielded a RIN ≥ 7.0 (7.1–8.7) and the remaining yielded a RIN of 6.9 (**Figure 3A**). The RIN values demonstrate sufficient RNA quality for downstream transcriptomic analysis.

To observe how temperature at the time of collection and shipment affected the RNA integrity, RIN values were plotted against the respective indoor temperature at the time of collection and stabilization (**Figure 3Bi**) along with the local weather reports maximum outdoor temperature (**Figure 3Bii**). Indoor temperatures reported for the 12 samples range from 21.2–27.2°C. Indoor temperature at the time of collection (at least across the temperature range and small sample size used in this study) does not have a clear influence on the RIN value. Notably, there is overlap between the indoor temperatures reported here (**Figure 3B**) and in our initial *homeRNA* feasibility study (23). In our previous pilot study conducted in 2020 (23), the majority of the samples were collected with an ambient indoor temperature between 18–25°C ($n = 43/53$ analyzed returned samples where participants completed their surveys and provided indoor temperature data), with the other 9 samples ranging from 26–28°C, with 5 at 26°C, 1 at 27°C and 3 at 28°C. The results from the present study conducted in 2021 with respect to indoor temperature are plotted together with the results from our 2020 pilot study (23) with regards to RIN (**Supplementary Figure S5Ai**) and total RNA yield (**Supplementary Figure S5Bi**), in the supporting information. There is also some overlap in the range of outdoor highs on pickup day in this study and our previous study conducted in 2020, but the samples collected in the 2021 study described here in the Western and South Central USA were picked up on days with a warmer outdoor high, on average. For the 2020 pilot study, the outdoor highs on pickup day ranged from 9–34.8°C, with a median outdoor high of 21.4°C, and only 7/60 total samples (collected from 47 total participants) had an outdoor high on the pickup day $>30^\circ\text{C}$ (23). In contrast, the median and range of the outdoor high on pickup day was higher for the present 2021 study,




FIGURE 3

Quality of isolated RNA from stabilized *homeRNA* samples collected during the summer in the Western and South Central USA. (A) Digital gel image of RNA isolated from *homeRNA* stabilized blood samples with corresponding RIN values, with (i) samples diluted 1:5 with nuclease-free water and run with a RNA 6000 Pico kit and (ii) samples run with a RNA 6000 Nano kit without dilution. Participant 1 contributed two samples, 1a and 1b, that were collected and stabilized on different days and at different temperatures. (B) (i) RIN values from blood collected and stabilized at different indoor ambient temperatures during summer and (ii) RIN values according to the outdoor temperature high reported on the day of collection. Each participant was asked to report the approximate blood volume collected before stabilization based on [Supplementary Figure S6](#) in the collection survey.

ranging between 25–43.4 $^{\circ}$ C, with a median of 35.1 $^{\circ}$ C. The RIN ([Supplementary Figure S5Aii](#)) and total RNA yield ([Supplementary Figure S5Bii](#)) from the 2020 and 2021 studies are plotted together with respect to outdoor high on pickup day in [Supplementary Figure S5](#). All but one of the samples where a RIN was obtained in our 2020 pilot study yielded a RIN ≥ 7.0 ; the one sample with a RIN < 7 was evaluated to a RIN of 6.8 (23). With regards to the relationship between the outdoor high and RIN in the present study, the three samples with the lowest RIN (RIN of 6.9) correspond to outdoor daily high temperatures $\geq 34^{\circ}$ C; however, four other samples corresponding to outdoor daily high temperatures $\geq 35^{\circ}$ C returned RIN values ≥ 7.0 .

Although RIN values were indicated as the main performance metric in this study, we acknowledge that other performance metrics (e.g., total yield and RNA concentration) may be used concurrently to guide sample selection for

downstream analysis. In longitudinal studies with multiple collection time points, extremely low yields either due to low blood volume or low circulating WBCs can lead to exclusion of specific time points in the longitudinal data series. These missing-at-random (MAR) samples can be problematic in longitudinal studies as they create unbalanced data and biased estimates (30). However, additional statistical approaches such as time-course gene set analysis (TcGSA) can be used to account for such heterogeneity in the dataset (30).

In studies where sampling is done in high temperature climates and samples are susceptible to variable levels of temperature-induced degradation, it is crucial that initial analysis of the genes of interest is performed to determine how their transcript levels change with respect to post-stabilization temperature stress as degradation may not occur uniformly within the sample (29). Prior to complete sample stabilization, multiple RNA decay pathways may influence rate

of decay for distinct transcript sets (31). In such studies, alternative normalization strategies (e.g. using linear model framework to control for effects of RIN values) may be used to enable identification of biological meaningful expression signatures (29).

Conclusion

The ability to remotely collect and stabilize blood will expand future applications in the field of personalized medicine, particularly for analyzing blood transcriptomes that can give insight to disease progression/therapy response, immune response, and biomarker discovery. However, in order to establish the capability to do this, it is important to examine how exposure to various temperature conditions affects RNA stability. In this work, we conducted two small pilot studies to test the robustness of our *homeRNA* at-home self-collection and stabilization blood kit to successfully preserve RNA integrity in high ambient temperature environments ($>30^{\circ}\text{C}$) in two different study designs. These two pilot studies can inform clinical studies that could be run in places similar to Doha, Qatar (where samples are only exposed to short temperature spikes in transit) and the Western and South Central USA (where samples are in transit overnight given the regional nature of the study). We demonstrated that the majority of these blood samples yielded sufficient RIN (≥ 7.0), which suggests the usability of these samples for downstream transcriptome analyses. Important limitations of the present work include relatively small sample sizes, although we note that this work follows on our larger study with 47 participants across the USA (23). Further, we have several ongoing clinical studies in the USA, with $>1,000$ samples collected over the past year; these studies will eventually add to the literature on the robustness of *homeRNA* across the USA through different seasons. It is also important to study the performance of *homeRNA* in tropical climates where samples will undergo longer transit times and longer periods of sustained high temperatures than those expected in Doha; to this end, we have ongoing studies utilizing *homeRNA* in urban and rural Thailand. As *homeRNA* is applied to diagnosis and monitoring of specific diseases, it will be important to analyze the expression of genes of interest that could be affected by elevated temperatures (i.e., transcriptional levels of inflammatory genes or disease-specific genes) using controlled in-lab experiments prior to personalized medicine applications. To our knowledge, this is the first study to establish use of *homeRNA* in sampling regions experiencing higher ambient temperatures, representing an initial validation study to extend the use of *homeRNA* to warm environments.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by University of Washington Institutional Review Board and Sidra Medicine Institutional Review Board. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

LGB, AJH, EB, ST, DC, MG, and ABT contributed to the conception and design of the study. AJH, DSK, FYL, EB, and ABT developed *homeRNA* and the protocols implemented in home sampling in this manuscript. AJH, DSK, KNA, JS, and MGT conducted/contributed to the human subjects research with participants in the Western and South Central USA. DC and MG conducted the human subjects research with participants in Doha, Qatar. LGB, AJH, and MG performed the RNA extractions on samples and collected the RIN and yield data. LGB, AJH, JS, MGT, FYL, EB, ST, DC, MG, and ABT analyzed/interpreted the data. LGB, AJH, MG, and ABT prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This publication was supported by the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship, the University of Washington, a STEP grant from UW CoMotion, and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) through the University of Washington EDGE Center of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (P30ES007033) and the National Center For Advancing Translational Sciences award number UL1 TR002319. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Proudawee Suthakorn for the figure drawings in **Figure 1**. We would also like to acknowledge Grant Hassan for assisting with study logistics for collecting the Western and South Central USA samples, as

well as Grant Hassan, Hannah Lea, and Ashley Dostie for their help in making and shipping the *homeRNA* kits to Qatar. We would also like to thank Lochlan Hickok, Paul Miller, Annalyn Randal, Madeleine Eakman, Mike Zimmerman, and Angela Mullen for helping facilitate shipping, logistics, and procurement specific to our remote sampling study. This manuscript is available as a preprint in bioRxiv.

Conflict of interest

The authors acknowledge the following potential conflicts of interest: ABT: ownership in Stacks to the Future, LLC. ST: ownership in Stacks to the Future, LLC, Salus Discovery, LLC, and Tasso, Inc. EB: ownership in Stacks to the Future, LLC, Salus Discovery, LLC, and Tasso, Inc., and employment by Tasso, Inc. Technologies from Stacks to the Future, LLC and Salus Discovery, LLC are not included in this publication. The blood collection device used in this publication is from Tasso, Inc.; the terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by the University of Washington in accordance with

its policies governing outside work and financial conflicts of interest in research.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fdgth.2022.903153/full#supplementary-material>.

References

- Mohr S, Liew CC. The peripheral-blood transcriptome: new insights into disease and risk assessment. *Trends Mol Med.* (2007) 13:422–32. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2007.08.003
- Chaussabel D. Assessment of immune status using blood transcriptomics and potential implications for global health. *Semin Immunol.* (2015) 27:58–66. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2015.03.002
- Heidecker B, Hare JM. The use of transcriptomic biomarkers for personalized medicine. *Heart Fail Rev.* (2007) 12:1–11. doi: 10.1007/s10741-007-9004-7
- Runne H, Kuhn A, Wild EJ, Pratyaksha W, Kristiansen M, Isaacs JD, et al. Analysis of potential transcriptomic biomarkers for Huntington's Disease in peripheral blood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2007) 104:14424–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0703652104
- Li C-X, Chen J, Lv S-K, Li J-H, Li L-L, Hu X. Whole-transcriptome RNA sequencing reveals significant differentially expressed mRNAs, miRNAs, and lncRNAs and related regulating biological pathways in the peripheral blood of COVID-19 patients. *Mediators Inflamm.* (2021) 2021:6635925. doi: 10.1155/2021/6635925
- Liew CC, Ma J, Tang HC, Zheng R, Dempsey AA. The peripheral blood transcriptome dynamically reflects system wide biology: a potential diagnostic tool. *J Lab Clin Med.* (2006) 147:126–32. doi: 10.1016/j.lab.2005.10.005
- Byron SA, Van Keuren-Jensen KR, Engelthaler DM, Carpten JD, Craig DW. Translating RNA sequencing into clinical diagnostics: opportunities and challenges. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2016) 17:257–71. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2016.10
- Toma R, Duval N, Pelle B, Parks MM, Gopu V, Torres PJ, et al. A clinically validated human capillary blood transcriptome test for global systems biology studies. *Biotechniques.* (2020) 69:289–301. doi: 10.2144/BTN-2020-0088
- Ahmad T, Fiuzat M, Felker GM, O'Connor C. Novel biomarkers in chronic heart failure. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2012) 9:347–59. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2012.37
- Yang IV, Luna LG, Cotter J, Talbert J, Leach SM, Kidd R, et al. The peripheral blood transcriptome identifies the presence and extent of disease in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7:e37708. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037708
- Burbelo PD, Iadarola MJ, Alevizos I, Sapio MR. Transcriptomic segregation of human autoantigens useful for the diagnosis of autoimmune diseases. *Mol Diagn Ther.* (2016) 20:415–27. doi: 10.1007/s40291-016-0211-6
- Mesko B, Poliska S, Nagy L. Gene expression profiles in peripheral blood for the diagnosis of autoimmune diseases. *Trends Mol Med.* (2011) 17:223–33. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2010.12.004
- Bayaa R, Ndiaye MDB, Chedid C, Kokhreidze E, Tukvadze N, Banu S, et al. Multi-country evaluation of RISK6, a 6-gene blood transcriptomic signature, for tuberculosis diagnosis and treatment monitoring. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:13646. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-93059-1
- Martinez P, Zemore SE. Feasibility of a mail-in, self-administered dried blood spot collection method in national, population-based alcohol surveys in the United States. *Addiction.* (2019) 114:1303–8. doi: 10.1111/add.14603
- Hicks J, Klumpp-Thomas C, Kalish H, Shunmugavel A, Mehalko J, Denson J-P, et al. Serologic cross-reactivity of SARS-CoV-2 with endemic and seasonal betacoronaviruses. *J Clin Immunol.* (2021) 41:906–13. doi: 10.1007/s10875-021-00997-6
- Li K, Naviaux JC, Monk JM, Wang L, Naviaux RK. Improved dried blood spot-based metabolomics: a targeted, broad-spectrum, single-injection method. *Metabolites.* (2020) 10(3):82. doi: 10.3390/metabo10030082
- Catala A, Culp-Hill R, Nemkov T, D'Alessandro A. Quantitative metabolomics comparison of traditional blood draws and TAP capillary blood collection. *Metabolomics.* (2018) 14:100. doi: 10.1007/s11306-018-1395-z
- Blicharz TM, Gong P, Bunner BM, Chu LL, Leonard KM, Wakefield JA, et al. Microneedle-based device for the one-step painless collection of capillary blood samples. *Nat Biomed Eng.* (2018) 2:151–7. doi: 10.1038/s41551-018-0194-1
- Fedoruk MN. Virtual drug testing: redefining sample collection in a global pandemic. *Bioanalysis.* (2020) 12:715–8. doi: 10.4155/bio-2020-0119
- Vusirikala A, Whitaker H, Jones S, Tessier E, Borrow R, Linley E, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in university students: cross-sectional study, December 2020, England. *J Infect.* (2021) 83:104–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.04.028
- Williams KJ, Lutman J, McCaughey C, Fischer SK. Assessment of low volume sampling technologies: utility in nonclinical and clinical studies. *Bioanalysis.* (2021) 13:679–91. doi: 10.4155/bio-2021-0027
- Tenhunen J. Hydrolysis of single-stranded RNA in aqueous solutions-effect on quantitative hybridizations. (1989).
- Haack AJ, Lim FY, Kennedy DS, Day JH, Adams KN, Lee JJ, et al. *homeRNA*: a self-sampling kit for the collection of peripheral blood and stabilization of RNA. *Anal Chem.* (2021) 93:13196–203. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.1c02008

24. Huang LH, Lin PH, Tsai KW, Wang LJ, Huang YH, Kuo HC, et al. The effects of storage temperature and duration of blood samples on DNA and RNA qualities. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12(9):e0184692. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0184692
25. Duale N, Lipkin WI, Briese T, Aarem J, Rønningen KS, Aas KK, et al. Long-term storage of blood RNA collected in RNA stabilizing tempus tubes in a large biobank—evaluation of RNA quality and stability. *BMC Res Notes*. (2014) 7:633. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-633
26. Shen Y, Li R, Tian F, Chen Z, Lu N, Bai Y, et al. Impact of RNA integrity and blood sample storage conditions on the gene expression analysis. *Oncotargets Ther.* (2018) 11:3573–81. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S158868
27. Schroeder A, Mueller O, Stocker S, Salowsky R, Leiber M, Gassmann M, et al. The RIN: an RNA integrity number for assigning integrity values to RNA measurements. *BMC Mol Biol.* (2006) 7:3. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-7-3
28. Kukurba KR, Montgomery SB. RNA sequencing and analysis. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc.* (2015) 2015:951–69. doi: 10.1101/pdb.top084970
29. Gallego Romero I, Pai AA, Tung J, Gilad Y. RNA-seq: impact of RNA degradation on transcript quantification. *BMC Biol.* (2014) 12:42. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-12-42
30. Hejblum BP, Skinner J, Thiébaud R. Time-course gene set analysis for longitudinal gene expression data. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2015) 11:e1004310. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004310
31. Tuck AC, Rankova A, Arpat AB, Liechti LA, Hess D, Iesmantavicius V, et al. Mammalian RNA decay pathways are highly specialized and widely linked to translation. *Mol Cell.* (2020) 77:1222–36.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.01.007
32. Brown LG, Haack AJ, Kennedy DS, Adams KN, Stolarczuk JE, Takezawa MG, et al. At-home blood collection and stabilization in high temperature climates using homeRNA. *bioRxiv.* (2022) 3(23):485–526. doi: 10.1101/2022.03.23.485526